



Lower Farmington River and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic River Study Study Report and Environmental Assessment *November 2011*





Photo: Wanda Colman

Lower Farmington River and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic River Study

Study Report and Environmental Assessment *November 2011*

Department of the Interior
National Park Service
Northeast Region
15 State Street
Boston, MA 02109-3572
Tel: 617-223-5191

National Park Service
Northeast Region
200 Chestnut Street, 3FL
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Tel: 215-597-6482

For more information and color version visit <http://www.lowerfarmingtonriver.org> or contact:

Lower Farmington and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic Study Committee

C/o FRWA
749 Hopmeadow Street
Simsbury, CT 06070
860 658 4442

The National Park Service is deeply indebted to the Farmington River and Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic Study Committee for their long-term service and for guiding this study to completion. Thanks also to the support of many additional volunteers and partners, including citizens, and town, state and federal officials whose support, assistance and commitment made this study possible. Please see the Farmington River and Salmon Brook Management Plan for a more complete listing of the many groups and individuals that contributed to the study.

Chuck Barscz, National Wild and Scenic Rivers Program Division Chief, National Park Service

Jamie Fosburgh, Northeast Region Rivers Program, New England Team Leader, National Park Service

Joyce Kennedy Raymes, Wild and Scenic Study Coordinator

Jeff Bolton, FRWA GIS Specialist

Linda Goldsmith Design, Harwinton, CT, Graphic Design



Photo: Tom Cameron

Contents

Summary—Principal Findings	V	3.C. Outstandingly Remarkable Values.....	17
Eligibility	v	Geology.....	17
Classification	v	Water Quality	20
Suitability.....	v	Biological Diversity.....	21
Alternatives Considered.....	v	Cultural Landscape	23
Lower Farmington and Salmon Brook		Recreation	28
Management Plan	vi	3.D. Classification	28
Support for Designation	vi	3.E. Conclusions on Eligibility and Classification	29
Partnership Wild and Scenic River Designation	vi		
Chapter 1: Background.....	1	Chapter 4: Suitability Findings and	30
1.A. Wild and Scenic Rivers Program	1	Management Context	30
1.B. Lower Farmington and Salmon Brook Study		4.A. Principle Factors of Suitability	30
History and Methods	2	Existing Protections.....	30
History.....	2	4.B.1 Regulatory Protections	30
Study Committee	2	Local.....	31
Study Approach.....	3	State.....	32
Partnership Rivers	3	Federal	32
Study Goals and Methods	4	4.B.2 Open Space and Land Conservation	34
General	4	4.B.3 Other Supporting Programs	35
Research.....	5	Watershed Associations	35
Outreach and Education	6	Local Land Trusts	35
Management Plan	7	Non-Regulatory State Programs	35
		4.C. Management Framework.....	37
		Management Plan	37
		4.D. Support for River Protection and National	
		Wild and Scenic Designation	38
		4.E. Evidence of Support.....	38
		Study Committee	38
		Local.....	38
		State of Connecticut.....	39
		Non-Governmental.....	39
		4.F. Effects of Designation.....	40
		General Effects—Partnership Wild and Scenic	
		Rivers Model	40
		Specific Effects—Collinsville and Rainbow Dam	
		Areas.....	40
		4.G. Summary of General Findings of Suitability	45
		4.H. Segment-By-Segment Suitability Findings ...	45
		4.I. Summary	46
		Chapter 5: Environmental	48
		Assessment.....	48
		5.A. Introduction	48
		5.B. Project Description.....	48
		5.C. Purpose and Need for Action	48
		5.D. Alternatives.....	49
		Alternative A: No Action.....	50
		Alternative B. Full Designation	50
		Alternative C. Partial Designation	51
		Variations on Alternatives	51
		Features Common to the No Action, Full	
		Designation and Partial Designation	
		Alternatives.....	51
		Alternatives Considered and Rejected Prior to	
		the Wild and Scenic Study	53

5.E. Identification of Environmentally Preferable Alternative	53
5.F. Affected Environment.....	54
5.G. Impact of Alternatives	54
5.H. Impact of Alternatives Table	55
5.I. Cumulative Impacts	63
5.J. Public Involvement, Consultations and Coordination	64
5.K. Local Support for Management Plan and Wild and Scenic Designation.....	69
5.L. Preparers and Contributors	71
5.M. List of Recipients	71

FIGURES

Figure 1: Wild and Scenic Study Process Flow Chart	3
Figure 2: General Location & Study Towns Map.....	9
Figure 3: Land Use Percentages within the Corridor.....	10
Figure 4: Study Corridor Land Cover Values.....	11
Figure 5: U.S. Census Populations from 1990–2009.....	11
Figure 6: Dams and Free-flowing Conditions Map.....	18
Figure 7: Floodplains and Riparian Buffers Map.....	33
Figure 8: The Great Drain	34
Figure 9: Open Space/Parks/Recreation Properties Map.....	36
Figure 10: Potential Exclusion Boundaries Upstream of Rainbow Dam.....	42
Figure 11: Eligibility and Suitability Map.....	47
Figure 12: Potential Designation Segments: Environmentally Preferred Alternative B	52

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Lower Farmington River and Salmon Brook Study Act.....	74
Appendix 2: State of Connecticut Wild and Scenic Legislation.....	75
Appendix 3: Record of Endorsements and Support for the Wild and Scenic Designation (State, Towns, Organizations, and Individuals).....	76
Appendix 4: The Metropolitan District Commission (MDC) Support of the Upper Farmington River Wild and Scenic Boundary Change	118
Appendix 5: Wild and Scenic Study Outreach and Education Examples.....	119
• Newsletter	
• Posters and Postcard	
• Press Releases, Articles, and Other Communications	
• Land Use Leadership Alliance Workshop Participants	
• Meeting and Event Schedule Examples	



Photo: Tom Cameron



Lower Farmington/Salmon Brook Wild and Scenic River Study
C/o FRWA
749 Hopmeadow Street
Simsbury, CT 06070
860 658 4442
<http://www.lowerfarmingtonriver.org/>

